

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,349	04/12/2001	Oliver Gottschalt	A-2794	3798
75	90 01/29/2003			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER	
Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			EVANISKO, LESLIE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
`	09/833,349	GOTTSCHALT ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie J. Evanisko	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 1	<u> November 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4 and 12-22</u> is/are						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Holli collolacidacii.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li></ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claims 5-11 in Paper No. 1. 10 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1-4 and 12-22 are withdrawn from further consideration 2. pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 3. U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international

application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

4. Claim 5-11, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haijima et al. (US 5,456,175). Haijima et al. teach an imaging machine **27** comprising a magnetic cylinder **30** (including at least one permanent magnet **260** embedded therein) for holding the printing plate during the setting of the image thereon. See Figures 8A, 49, and 60, column 25, lines 15-22, and column 28, lines 1-35 of Haijima et al. in particular.

With respect to claim 6, the sprocket mechanism **30a** on the cylinder **30** can broadly be considered to be a register system for aligning the printing sheet.

With respect to claim 7, note the sheet clamper 258 of Haijima et al.

With respect to claims 9-11, to the extent that applicant has recited any particular structure of the various imaging machines recited, note that Haijima et al. teach an imaging machine which can broadly be considered to be any one of a "plate-exposing," "plate-developing," or "plate-engraving" machine. See column 2, lines 53-54 and the abstract.

With respect to claim 23, note Haijima et al. teach an imaging machine comprising a magnetic cylinder 30 with at least one magnet 260, a register system 30a, and at least one clamping device 258 disposed separate from the magnet as recited.

With respect to claim 24, note that Haijima et al. teach an imaging machine comprising a magnetic cylinder **30** for "directly" holding the printing

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

plate firmly during the setting of the image thereon, as broadly recited by applicant.

- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Montgomery et al. (US 5,947,028). Montgomery et al. teach an imaging machine for setting an image on a printing plate comprising a magnetic cylinder for directly holding the printing plate is well known in the art. Note, in particular, column 1, lines 12-24.
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ganton (US 6,130,702). Ganton teaches an imaging machine comprising a magnetic cylinder 13 for "directly" holding the printing plate firmly during the setting of an image thereon. See Figures 2a-2d and column 1, lines 48-67 and column 2, line 51-column 3, line 19 in particular. Note that the term "magnetic" is broadly defined by Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Tenth Edition) as "being magnetized or capable of being magnetized". Since Ganton teaches that "the drum 13 is made of a ferromagnetic material such as cast iron or has steel inserts to allow the magnet 16 to adhere to the drum" (column 3, lines 8-10), the drum can broadly be considered to be magnetic as recited.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganton (US 6,130,702). Ganton teaches an imaging machine comprising a magnetic cylinder 13 for "directly" holding the printing plate firmly during the setting of an image thereon. See Figures 2a-2d and column 1, lines 48-67 and column 2, line 51-column 3, line 19 in particular. Note that the term "magnetic" is broadly defined by Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Tenth Edition) as "being magnetized or capable of being magnetized". Since Ganton teaches that "the drum 13 is made of a ferromagnetic material such as cast iron or has steel inserts to allow the magnet 16 to adhere to the drum" (column 3, lines 8-10), the drum can broadly be considered to be magnetic as recited.

Furthermore, although Ganton teaches the drum is made of magnetically attractable material which attracts a magnetic clamp 16 (permanent or electromagnetic), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the drum include permanent or electromagnetic members and the

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

clamp to be made of a magnetically attractable material, as it would require simply the obvious reversal of parts to provide securing of a printing plate on the imaging cylinder.

With respect to claim 6, note the teaching of a register system in column 2, lines 57-67 of Ganton.

With respect to claims 7 and 23, note the magnetic cylinder of Ganton includes at least one clamping device **16**, and with the obvious reversal of the magnetic elements and cylinder as set forth above, the clamping device would be disposed separately from the magnetic cylinder for firmly clamping the printing plate as broadly recited.

With respect to claims 9-11, to the extent that applicant has recited any particular structure of the various imaging machines recited, note that Ganton teaches an imaging machine which can broadly be considered to be any one of a "plate-exposing," "plate-developing," or "plate-engraving" machine. See column 2, lines 53-54 and the abstract.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed November 19, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in the above rejections.

In particular, applicant argues that the features of the claims are not shown in either of Ganton or Haijima et al. since neither Ganton or Haijima teach a printing plate having a magnetically attractable material which is

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

directly held in place by magnetic force between the magnetic cylinder and magnetic plate. The Examiner disagrees with this argument. In particular, the claims are directed to an imaging machine per se and not the combination of the imaging machine and the printing plate. In particular, the claims recite an imaging machine for use with a magnetic printing plate, the imaging machine comprising a magnetic cylinder. The printing plate is merely part of the intended use statement and is not a positively required structural element of the claim. Therefore, the type of plate that is used with the imaging machine does not affect the structure of the imaging machine and cylinder and is of no patentable significance. The cylinder of the imaging machine of Ganton and Haijima et al. are capable of being used with a magnetic printing plate and therefore, Ganton and Haijima et al. teach the structure of the imaging machine as broadly recited.

Additionally, applicant argues that neither Ganton nor Haijima et al. teach an arrangement for "directly" holding the printing plate on the cylinder since each reference uses an indirect clamping arrangement for holding the plate on the cylinder. The Examiner disagrees with this argument for several reasons. Firstly, as discussed above, the plate is not part of the claimed combination. Furthermore, if a magnetic plate was used with the cylinder of either of Ganton or Haijima et al., the cylinder would function to directly hold the plate as recited. Additionally, each reference can be considered to meet that claim limitation in that the plate is held in direct contact with the cylinder

Art Unit: 2854

surface and therefore the cylinder can be considered to be "directly holding" the plate.

In view of these reasons, the Examiner is not persuaded of any error in the above rejections.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Leslie J. Evanisko** whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

number is (703) 308-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Cheolic Evanushed Leslie J. Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

lje January 24, 2003